Document 1

Filed 11/02/2007

Page 1 of 5

Case 3:07-cv-05588-CRB

1	CORPORATION hereby jointly remove to this Court the state court action described below.
2	1. On June 25, 2007 an action was commenced in the Superior Court of
3	California in and for the County of Contra Costa, entitled Sean Michael Carter v. K&K
4	Insurance Group, Inc. d/b/a Specialty Benefits Administrator, Inc.; Nationwide Life Insurance
5	Company; Nationwide Mutual Insurance Company; Aon Corporation and Does 1 to 100, as Case
6	Number C0701357. A true and correct copy of the Complaint is attached hereto as Exhibit A.
7	2. The first date upon which defendant K&K Insurance Group, Inc. (K&K)
8	received a copy of the said complaint was when defendant K&K was served with a copy of the
9	said complaint and a summons from the said state court on October 3, 2007. A true copy of the
10	summons and all papers received by K&K at the time of service of process (except the
11	Complaint which is attached as Exhibit A) are attached hereto as Exhibit B.
12	3. The first date upon which defendant Nationwide Life Insurance Company
13	(Nationwide Life) received a copy of the said complaint was when defendant Nationwide Life
14	was served with a copy of the said complaint and a summons from the said state court on
15	October 9, 2007. A true copy of the summons and all papers received by Nationwide Life at the
16	time of service of process (except the Complaint which is attached as Exhibit A) are attached
17	hereto as Exhibit C.
18	4. The first date upon which defendant Nationwide Mutual Insurance
19	Company (Nationwide Mutual) received a copy of the said complaint was when defendant
20	Nationwide Mutual was served with a copy of the said complaint and a summons from the said
21	state court on October 9, 2007. A true copy of the summons and all papers received by
22	Nationwide Mutual at the time of service of process (except the Complaint which is attached as
23	Exhibit A) are attached hereto as Exhibit D. In addition, on November 1, 2007, Nationwide
24	Mutual filed an answer to the complaint in the state court. A true copy of that answer is attached

26 5. Defendant Aon Corporation has not been properly served in this action.

25

28

hereto as Exhibit E.

27 6. Jurisdiction - This action is a civil action of which this Court has original

jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §1332, and is one which may be removed to this Court by A/72296863.1/3003872-0000317272 2

defendants pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. §1441(b) in that it is a civil action between 1 citizens of different states and the matter in controversy exceeds the sum of \$75,000, exclusive 2 of interest and costs. The complaint seeks, among other relief, the following relief from the 3 4 Court: Special damages 5 a. General damages 6 b. Punitive and exemplary damages 7 c. Attorneys' fees, costs and expense of litigation as allowed by law 8 d. Prejudgment interest 9 e. Such further and additional relief as the Court deems just and 10 f. proper. 11 It is facially apparent from the Complaint that the claim exceeds \$75,000. Plaintiff has a lengthy 12 list of compensatory and punitive damages (severe mental and emotional distress including grief, 13 shame, humiliation, anger) (Compl. ¶ 67) combined with a claim for attorneys' fees. Compl. 14 14:12. Plaintiff is a quadriplegic who seeks recovery under the insurance policies, one of which 15 16 has a limit of \$1 million, and the other a limit of \$150,000. Defendants are informed and believe that plaintiff was, and still is, a 17 7. citizen of the State of California, as he alleges in the complaint. Defendant K&K Insurance 18 Group, Inc. d/b/a Specialty Benefits Administrator, Inc. was, at the time of the filing of this 19 action, and still is, an Indiana corporation, whose principal place of business is in Fort Wayne, 20 Indiana. Defendants Nationwide Life Insurance Company and Nationwide Mutual Insurance 21 Company were, at the time of the filing of this action, and still are, Ohio Corporations whose 22 principal place of business is in the state of Ohio. Aon Corp., who has been named as a 23 defendant but has not been properly served, was, at the time of the filing of this action, and still 24 25 is, a Delaware corporation whose principal place of business is Chicago, Illinois.

8. Intra-district Assignment – Removal to the San Francisco Division of the Court is appropriate because the state court action is pending in Contra Costa County, California,

28

26

27

1	which lies within this district and division. Defendants are informed and believe that this action
2	"arose" within the meaning of Local Rule 3.2(c) in the County of Contra Costa, making the San
3	Francisco Division a proper division for assignment of this case.
4 5	DATED: November 2, 2007 LONG & LEVIT LLP
6	By: David P. Borowhy BT GIT
7 8	DAVID P. BOROVSKY Attorneys for Defendants NATIONWIDE LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY and NATIONWIDE MUTUAL
9	INSURANCE COMPANY
10 11	DATED: November 2, 2007 Bingham McCutchen LLP
12	De Callet H
13	By: GEOFFREY T. HOLTZ Attorneys for Defendants
14	K&K INSURANCE GROUP, INC., d/b/a SPECIALTY BENEFITS ADMINISTRATOR,
15	INC. and AON CORPORATION
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	•
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	A/72296863.1/3003872-0000317272 4

1	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
2	Defendants, and each of them, hereby demand a jury trial.
4	DATED: November 2, 2007
5	LONG & LEVIT LLP
6	By: David P. Dorovahay BY GTH
7	DAVID P. BOROVSKY Attorneys for Defendants
8	NATIONWIDE LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY and NATIONWIDE MUTUAL
9	INSURANCE COMPANY
	DATED: November 2, 2007
10	Bingham McCutchen LLP
11	By: Soll THE
12	Geoffrey T. Holtz Attorneys for Defendants
13	K&K INSURANCE GROUP, INC., d/b/a SPECIALTY BENEFITS ADMINISTRATOR,
14	INC. and AON CORPORATION
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	`
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	-
	A/72296863 1/3003872-0000317272 5